Poster Presentation Score Sheet

Student name
I. Introduction/Background Information/Prior Research (15% of total score) (15 pts) Relationship to previous work shown; relevant references; excellent. (12 pts) Relationship to previous work shown; some references; good effort. (10 pts) Background, but no references.
(5 pts) Poor effort to put problem into context; confusing; no references.
II. Problem, Hypothesis and Experimental design (10% of total score) (10 pts) Research question and hypothesis stated clearly; originality shown. (6 pts) Research question/hypothesis not stated on poster, but evident in oral presentation. (3 pts) Hypothesis not in poster or oral presentation, but evaluator can imagine what it is.
III. Materials and Methods (10% of total score) (10 pts) Relevant procedures are provided; data processing/statistical analysis described. (6 pts) Description of protocols overly simplified, but student can explain well if asked. (3 pts) Methods are poorly described or don't match the results presented.
 IV. Results and Discussion (20% of total score) (20 pts) Tables/graphs/images are clear and well-explained; correct conclusions are drawn; conclusions do not go beyond data presented; excellent work. (16 pts) Tables/graphs/images are good but need better explanation; mostly correct conclusions are drawn; conclusions do
not go beyond data presented; good effort. (12 pts) Tables/graphs/images show some effort and need better explanation; some correct conclusions are drawn; data presented; average effort.
(8 pts) Tables/graphs/images are not clear and poorly explained, and still not clear after oral presentation; incorrect or no conclusions are drawn; below-average effort. (4 pts) Poor presentation of results, incorrect or no conclusions, and over-interpretation.
(Pag) . Got precentation of results, meaning of the conditions, and other men preciation.
V. Significance, Applications, Further Research (5% of total score) (5 pts) Clearly and correctly stated why the work is important; future experiments or improvements to the methods are discussed. (3 pts) Not stated in poster, but student can explain why work is important; some ideas about what needs to be done in future.
VI. Visual presentation (10% of total score; range : 10 pts. is Excellent; 4 pts. is Poor Below Average). (10 pts) (8 pts) (6 pts) (4 pts)
Things to look for: * Do not judge on printing method (large forma, A3, A4 sheets) but look instead at the effort to make an organized, easy to understandard attractive poster)
* Fonts are large enough and proportional to poster size * Text short/medium in length; no long solid paragraphs
* Poster uses tables, graphs, data, photos, drawings, etc. advantageously
* Everything is easy to read; no data and text overload * Technical level appropriate for interdisciplinary scientific audience
* Poster can be easily understood without additional explanation from presenter
VII. Oral presentation (25% of total score; 25 pts. is Excellent; 5 pts. is Poor, Below Average.) (25 pts) (20pts) (15 pts) (10 pts) (5 pts) Things to look/listen for:
* Good pace of presentation and stays within time limit (15 minutes max) * Good coverage of information in oral presentation; student is able to answer questions well
VIII. Oral Presentation in English (5% of total score; 5 pts. Is Excellent; 3 pt. is Below Average)
(5 pts) Speaks clearly and fluently, using appropriate vocabulary. (3 pts) Speaks hesitantly with errors in pronunciation that impede communication. Uses mostly appropriate vocabulary.
Additional comments: